

J9hdricc

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

New York, N.Y.

4 v.

13 Cr. 0356 (RMB)

5 VAUGHN RICHMOND,

6 Defendant.

7 -----x

8 September 17, 2019  
9 11:27 a.m.

10 Before:

11 HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN,

12 District Judge

13  
14 APPEARANCES

15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN

16 United States Attorney for the  
17 Southern District of New York

BY: MICHAEL NEFF

17 Assistant United States Attorney

18 CHASE RUDDY

19 Attorney for Defendant

20 - also present -

21 United States Probation Department:

22 P.O. LISA FARO, Southern District of New York

23 P.O. ANA MARIA GONZALEZ, Eastern District of New York

J9hdricc

1 THE COURT: How are you all?

2 Please be seated. Sorry that I am a little late for  
3 this hearing.

4 Let's start with the Probation Department.

5 P.O. GONZALEZ: Good morning, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Good morning.

7 P.O. GONZALEZ: So Mr. Richmond -- Ana Gonzalez from  
8 the Eastern District New York Probation. Mr. Richmond was  
9 successfully terminated from treatment effective June 30th. He  
10 hasn't paid restitution since the last time we were here, which  
11 was -- actually we were here on June --

12 THE COURT: I hate to interrupt but you mean the  
13 therapy?

14 P.O. GONZALEZ: The therapy completed.

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 P.O. GONZALEZ: So that's done.

17 THE COURT: You were here the last time, no?

18 P.O. GONZALEZ: Of course, yes.

19 THE COURT: So it came up then, and we said that the  
20 Court wanted to have the therapist's input before the  
21 termination or conclusion. Whatever happened to that?

22 P.O. GONZALEZ: Oh, that was not my understanding. I  
23 apologize, your Honor. My understanding was --

24 THE COURT: It is not a question of understanding. It  
25 couldn't have been clearer.

J9hdricc

1 Have you read the transcript from that last session?

2 P.O. GONZALEZ: No, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: No?

4 P.O. GONZALEZ: No. I have my own notes.

5 THE COURT: You can't really go from your  
6 recollection. I bet you'll find that it is clear in the  
7 transcript that before that happened -- Mr. Sapone had raised  
8 the issue.

9 P.O. GONZALEZ: Yes.

10 THE COURT: And I said before that happens, I wanted  
11 to hear from the therapists first.

12 I don't know. Has there been a submission from the  
13 therapist?

14 P.O. GONZALEZ: Not that I am aware of. Unless the  
15 defense has something, I haven't --

16 THE COURT: So I don't think your recollection,  
17 respectfully, is correct as to what was determined on June 4,  
18 2019, with respect to that. But, anyway.

19 P.O. GONZALEZ: So he was discharged on June 30th.

20 And the only other thing to update the Court on is the  
21 fact that he hasn't paid restitution since May.

22 THE COURT: Right. So what did the therapist say upon  
23 termination?

24 P.O. GONZALEZ: That he had completed all treatment --

25 THE COURT: Of course, otherwise you wouldn't have

J9hdricc

1 terminated. But there must be some substantive explanation of  
2 why --

3 P.O. GONZALEZ: It was very brief, actually. It was a  
4 very brief discharge summary. It just said that he met all the  
5 treatment goals.

6 THE COURT: So I have a problem generally with that,  
7 too, but in this case specifically that's what I would like to  
8 see.

9 P.O. GONZALEZ: You want the discharge summary?

10 THE COURT: No, because you are saying it is very  
11 brief and not informative. So if you look in the transcript,  
12 you'll see what I want from the therapist.

13 And, counsel, maybe you could help us secure that.

14 MR. NEFF: Certainly, your Honor. We will  
15 collectively make prompt efforts to get such a letter.

16 THE COURT: Yes. So I want to know from the therapist  
17 why he or she thought it was appropriate to terminate therapy.  
18 It is not a bad thing; it is a good thing if there is a good  
19 explanation, but I would like to understand the explanation.

20 P.O. GONZALEZ: So you want a letter from the  
21 therapist?

22 THE COURT: That is exactly what I want, unless you  
23 want to bring the therapist here -- that would be number one.  
24 But number two of course is always a letter from the therapist.  
25 Otherwise, how am I going to figure out what the therapist had

J9hdricc

1 in mind?

2 MR. NEFF: Your Honor, just to hopefully preempt one  
3 question. I can foresee some therapists expressing --

4 THE COURT: You are going to say "confidentiality."

5 MR. NEFF: Yes.

6 THE COURT: In a circumstance like this where the  
7 Court is asking for it, there is no confidentiality issue.  
8 Courts are entitled to have the therapist's input.

9 MR. NEFF: Right, your Honor.

10 Are we permitted to convey to the therapist that any  
11 such letter will not be on any public docket?

12 THE COURT: If that's what you want, if that's what  
13 she wants. The therapist knows how to write a letter, right?  
14 If they want it to be public is one thing, and if they want it  
15 to be nonpublic it would be something else.

16 MR. NEFF: It is simply in the interest of encouraging  
17 them to feel they can write freely and, therefore, give the  
18 Court more information.

19 THE COURT: Yes. Exactly.

20 MR. NEFF: Thank you.

21 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

22 When do you think you could get that?

23 P.O. GONZALEZ: We could probably have it by the end  
24 of this week.

25 THE COURT: OK. So that would be the 20th. Great.

J9hdricc

1           And just so there is no misunderstanding, I would like  
2           it as detailed as possible so I have an understanding of what  
3           was accomplished in therapy and why she feels -- does she feel,  
4           for example, that moving forward in life Mr. Richmond should or  
5           shouldn't have therapy continue? Who knows?

6           P.O. GONZALEZ: OK.

7           THE COURT: Thank you.

8           OK. So anybody else want to cover anything?

9           So there are, if I'm not mistaken, Probation  
10          Department specifications pending in this case. No?

11          P.O. GONZALEZ: No. They have been withdrawn.

12          THE COURT: They were withdrawn?

13          P.O. GONZALEZ: Mm-hmm.

14          THE COURT: Was that in January?

15          P.O. GONZALEZ: Yes.

16          THE COURT: OK. All right. And did you want to  
17          mention anything about working and living and how he is doing  
18          in those areas?

19          P.O. GONZALEZ: I don't have any information regarding  
20          his work. Mr. Richmond failed to report to the office in  
21          August and did not come in at the beginning of this month  
22          either.

23          I did go see him -- he moved to Queens. I did go see  
24          him at the new address in Queens. But he apparently through  
25          his attorney told the government today that he hasn't been paid

J9hdricc

1 since July and so --

2 THE COURT: He who?

3 P.O. GONZALEZ: Mr. Richmond hasn't received a salary  
4 since July, allegedly. So that's all I would know. I don't  
5 have any other information regarding his employment.

6 MR. NEFF: One note, your Honor, if I may?

7 It was just noted that there are not currently  
8 specifications pending. As the Court may recall, I believe  
9 termination is scheduled to -- I'm sorry, supervision is  
10 scheduled to terminate at present on October 10th of this year.

11 THE COURT: Correct.

12 MR. NEFF: I did want to flag the possibility -- not a  
13 guarantee, but the possibility of future specifications before  
14 then if the restitution payments continue not to occur. That  
15 is not what anybody wants. I think we all simply want  
16 compliance and a smooth completion of this process. But I did  
17 want to flag -- since the question of are there outstanding  
18 specifications came up, I did want to flag at least that it is  
19 possible that if the defendant remains not current in the  
20 payments, there may be specifications filed before  
21 October 10th.

22 If the payments -- if he can get current before then  
23 and if everything else continues to go more or less smoothly,  
24 it is my expectation there would be no more specifications and  
25 there would be a smooth termination.

J9hdricc

1 THE COURT: And how much would he have to pay to be  
2 current?

3 MR. NEFF: Your Honor, he has paid through June but he  
4 has not paid July, August or September as of this point. So it  
5 is \$700 a month. At present, that would be \$2,100, and then  
6 there also may be October, depending on when he pays, so that  
7 would be 2,800.

8 THE COURT: Have you all talked to him about that?

9 MR. NEFF: Your Honor, I conferred with his counsel,  
10 as Officer Gonzalez alluded to before this conference, and his  
11 counsel relayed that there had been a death in the family  
12 between the last conference and now, which led to funeral  
13 expenses, as well as a lack of payment, as alluded to by  
14 Officer Gonzalez. But his counsel did mention that they  
15 anticipate that he will be receiving funds between -- or in the  
16 very near future, and, thus, I believe it is their anticipation  
17 that he will be able to make certain payments shortly.

18 MR. RUDDY: Thank you, your Honor.

19 Just to round things out a little bit, since the last  
20 Court appearance on June 20th, Mr. Richmond's mother passed  
21 away --

22 THE COURT: Sorry to hear that.

23 MR. RUDDY: -- which was an unfortunate loss for him  
24 and certainly led to some unanticipated financial  
25 considerations.

J9hdricc

1           Additionally since then, his father is in declining  
2 health and is in receipt of the 24/7 home care. And so in part  
3 because of these additional funeral and medical expenses that  
4 were unanticipated, that's been a financial consideration that  
5 has obviously weighed on Mr. Richmond, in addition to the fact  
6 that he has not been paid since July 1st. Now, we fully  
7 anticipate and he has been told that his pay that he has not  
8 received is going to be made current in the next few weeks.  
9 There were, from what I understand, certain cash flow issues  
10 with the business which prevented him from being paid but that  
11 that should be remedied in the near future, and Mr. Richmond is  
12 exploring other ways, including borrowing from people that he  
13 is close to, to make current on his obligations.

14           I just want to make clear to the Court this is not  
15 someone who is thumbing his nose at his obligations. He is  
16 desiring to be current and to be in accordance with his  
17 obligations, and he's trying to do everything within his power  
18 to do that, your Honor.

19           THE COURT: So, do you have an understanding -- "you"  
20 being the defense counsel and the government and probation --  
21 as to what's going to happen? I mean, there is this issue  
22 floating around. What is a doable proposition here?

23           MR. RUDDY: So my understanding in my conversations  
24 with Mr. Richmond is that he fully expects within the next  
25 three weeks to be able to be fully current with his obligations

J9hdricc

1 as to restitution, which I believe should obviate any need for  
2 future specifications and hopefully allow us to transition to  
3 the end of supervision in this case, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Richmond, how are things going from  
5 your point of view?

6 THE DEFENDANT: They've been quite stressful this  
7 summer, in particular. My mother's changing health has put a  
8 lot of strain, and as a result the -- well, the insurance  
9 coverages for my father in terms of nursing care has been  
10 reduced. The family has to now scramble to figure out coverage  
11 for him. He is starting to show increased evidence of early  
12 onset dementia in terms of memory loss so he needs  
13 round-the-clock care. And to compound with that, start back of  
14 school for my daughter. It has been a very, very busy summer  
15 in terms of the challenges coming, you know, one after the  
16 other.

17 But on a more positive is the return of the business  
18 professionals after the Labor Day holiday so now there is more  
19 responsiveness and the feelings that there should be some  
20 positive outcomes in the coming weeks.

21 THE COURT: You are talking about work now, work-wise?

22 THE DEFENDANT: That is the issues I have on  
23 financial.

24 THE COURT: Can you be a little more specific? Is  
25 work going well, and how do you measure that?

J9hdricc

1           THE DEFENDANT: You know, I measure -- work is going  
2 fine would be the word I would use. I would use the word well.  
3 To use the word seasonably, that is close. So we rely on  
4 positive response from counterparties, so whether it be the  
5 business party on the other side saying, yes, they want to move  
6 forward or any other party in relation to the business, this is  
7 what we rely upon.

8           As of now, the last -- I would say the last ten days  
9 we're seeing the activity pick up again and the responses being  
10 very positive, and, you know, we're -- when I say "we,"  
11 Malvasia and myself, because if nobody does well, they aren't  
12 going to successfully compensate me we are waiting with bated  
13 breath.

14          THE COURT: Any deals consummated?

15          THE DEFENDANT: We got the verbal commitment on Monday  
16 morning on a property in Bellmore, so that hopefully will --  
17 today. That was yesterday, so today the contract should be  
18 submitted. And then that's one transaction I'm looking towards  
19 that I think it will create the liquidity that will allow me  
20 for my pay to be brought up.

21          THE COURT: Did you move to --

22          THE DEFENDANT: I am in St. Albans, Queens.

23          THE COURT: That's new?

24          THE DEFENDANT: That's occurred the end of May or the  
25 beginning of June. It had to be the beginning of June. It was

J9hdricc

1 around the same time we had the last quarter.

2 THE COURT: OK.

3 THE DEFENDANT: It is temporary but it is where I am  
4 now.

5 THE COURT: Got it. So, when you all come to the end  
6 of supervision, how do you do that? You just say, "Nice  
7 talking to you, goodbye," or do you come up -- I know some  
8 people help the person develop a plan that that person might  
9 utilize, rely upon going forward even though there is no  
10 probation in the picture anymore. Do you do that, the latter?

11 P.O. GONZALEZ: That obviously is the whole point of  
12 the supervision process is to --

13 THE COURT: I get that, but, you know, you would be  
14 amazed how many times the whole point isn't accomplished.

15 P.O. GONZALEZ: Well --

16 THE COURT: So what is the point? What is the whole  
17 point? Maybe I am not understanding you.

18 P.O. GONZALEZ: The whole point of supervision is to  
19 help offenders who are coming either out of prison or out of  
20 court to lead successful, positive, productive lives, to set  
21 them on a path, on a course where they will never come back  
22 into these environs again. Right? So that is the whole idea.  
23 From the very beginning, we start on that until the last day.

24 THE COURT: Right.

25 P.O. GONZALEZ: If the offender is not receptive to

J9hdricc

1 it, then that is a different thing. But that is the position  
2 of the Probation Office.

3 THE COURT: I get that. Of course I understand that.

4 In this case what's going to happen?

5 P.O. GONZALEZ: He's going to -- assuming he  
6 terminates on October 10th, as scheduled, I mean --

7 THE COURT: Nice talking to you, goodbye?

8 P.O. GONZALEZ: Yeah. There is nothing for him to  
9 sign. We close his file internally, and he would be making  
10 payments on his own.

11 THE COURT: So, again, I have the worry that I'm not  
12 being clear again. So, is there any advance planning for the  
13 end of supervision that one shares with the person being  
14 supervised?

15 P.O. GONZALEZ: I'm not sure exactly what you're  
16 asking. Once he terminates on October 10th, he will not have  
17 any further contact with our office.

18 THE COURT: Yes. So that's exactly what I was hoping  
19 I would not hear, what you are saying.

20 P.O. GONZALEZ: He is not on supervision anymore. The  
21 supervision term has to end at some point.

22 THE COURT: I think I understand that concept very  
23 well.

24 But I'll say it again, in many contexts, in many  
25 places, you don't just end. Whether it is in supervised

J9hdricc

1 release or in counseling or in therapy or in medicine, the  
2 field of medicine, it's preferable that there be some sort of  
3 termination and post-termination plan developed between the  
4 person supervised and the former supervisor.

5 I take it that is not happening in this case?

6 P.O. GONZALEZ: No. I don't know that that happens in  
7 any of our cases.

8 THE COURT: Oh, really?

9 P.O. GONZALEZ: No, sir. Like I said, we work --

10 THE COURT: Don't talk about everybody. Talk about  
11 yourself. I take it it is not happening in your -- in this  
12 case; is that right?

13 P.O. GONZALEZ: No, your Honor. When somebody gets to  
14 the termination date, there is no further contact with the  
15 Probation Office. Mr. Richmond has been on supervision for a  
16 total of five years almost consecutively now. So, no, there is  
17 no contact after the termination date. That's --

18 THE COURT: That's my concern, yes.

19 P.O. GONZALEZ: We have no ability to enforce  
20 anything --

21 THE COURT: I understand. I get it. I get it.

22 P.O. GONZALEZ: We have no jurisdiction over him.

23 THE COURT: You have no jurisdiction --

24 P.O. GONZALEZ: So, I mean, our hands are tied. There  
25 is nothing we can do.

J9hdricc

1 THE COURT: Oh, really?

2 P.O. GONZALEZ: Certainly. I could tell him anything  
3 and he could say "No thanks" and "OK."

4 THE COURT: But in point of fact, you didn't tell him  
5 anything?

6 P.O. GONZALEZ: Again, we've been working on a  
7 post-supervision plan since the day he started.

8 THE COURT: And what is that plan?

9 P.O. GONZALEZ: So to get a job, legitimate, gainful  
10 employment that puts him in a position where he is  
11 self-sufficient and productive, to live an honest, law-abiding  
12 life, to contribute to his family and his community. That's  
13 all --

14 THE COURT: That's the plan?

15 P.O. GONZALEZ: Yeah, that's the plan.

16 THE COURT: All right. OK. Yeah, I get it. I am  
17 disappointed but I get it.

18 P.O. GONZALEZ: OK.

19 MR. NEFF: Your Honor, if I may. I'm sorry?

20 THE COURT: Yes.

21 MR. NEFF: If it is useful, the Probation Officer  
22 mentioned that the supervisee has not come in for the last two  
23 appointments, but she is certainly willing and able to meet  
24 with him one final time and, in essence, talk about the future  
25 hopes, plans, resources and the like. That may not be exactly

J9hdricc

1 what the Court has in mind --

2 THE COURT: It's something. It certainly would be  
3 something.

4 MR. NEFF: It is a forward-looking conversation about  
5 goals and the like that may, within the jurisdictional  
6 limitations, achieve some of the goals the Court is pointing  
7 out.

8 THE COURT: I think that would be helpful. I think  
9 that's vastly different than a supervisee who didn't show,  
10 let's say, the last two times or more -- and I'm not saying --  
11 I'm not ascribing blame here because it takes two to have a  
12 meeting, but in the absence of what you're suggesting, you  
13 realize from my point of view that it is like falling off a  
14 cliff and in my opinion for someone who could use -- it may be  
15 difficult to accept and to present, but someone who could use  
16 some further guidance or suggestions or resources or you could  
17 go to this place if you needed to go to therapy or you could go  
18 to this place if you are looking for employment or, you know.  
19 That's really what I'm looking for.

20 Yes?

21 MR. NEFF: That makes sense, your Honor, and the  
22 Officer will seek to schedule that appointment with the  
23 supervisee.

24 THE COURT: OK. So on October 7 we'll have one more  
25 session at 10, and we'll hear that transpired; that would be

J9hdricc

1 helpful. We'll also hear if the restitution has been made.

2 And if everybody is in agreement, that supervision terminate on  
3 October 10, or whatever the date is.

4 MR. RUDDY: That works for us, your Honor. Thank you.

5 THE COURT: Great. Anybody have --

6 P.O. GONZALEZ: Your Honor, I'm not available on the  
7 7th. Could we make it the 4th, maybe?

8 THE COURT: I would like it to be close to the 10th.

9 P.O. GONZALEZ: The 8th or the 9th. I am just not  
10 available on the 7th.

11 (Pause)

12 THE COURT: I could do October 8 at noon.

13 MR. RUDDY: That works for the defense, your Honor.

14 P.O. GONZALEZ: Thank you, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Great. Good to see you all.

16 MR. RUDDY: Thank you very much, your Honor.

17 MR. NEFF: Thank you, Judge.

18 THE COURT: So I think how you end is as important in  
19 many instances as how you begin. So maybe we could have a  
20 little bit of an uptick toward the end, and I think the  
21 suggestions that have been made here will go some degree toward  
22 accomplishing that goal. Thanks a lot.

23 MR. RUDDY: Thank you, your Honor.

24 MR. NEFF: Thank you, your Honor Judge.

25 (Adjourned)